# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of Equality Analysis

The council has an important role in creating a fair society through the services we provide, the people we employ and the money we spend. Equality is integral to everything the council does. We are committed to making Croydon a stronger, fairer borough where no community or individual is held back.

Undertaking an Equality Analysis helps to determine whether a proposed change will have a positive, negative, or no impact on groups that share a protected characteristic. Conclusions drawn from Equality Analyses helps us to better understand the needs of all our communities, enable us to target services and budgets more effectively and also helps us to comply with the Equality Act 2010.

An equality analysis must be completed as early as possible during the planning stages of any proposed change to ensure information gained from the process is incorporated in any decisions made.

In practice, the term 'proposed change' broadly covers the following:-

- Policies, strategies and plans;
- Projects and programmes;
- Commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning);
- Service review:
- Budget allocation/analysis;
- Staff restructures (including outsourcing);
- · Business transformation programmes;
- Organisational change programmes;
- Processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria.

# 2. Proposed change

Directorate	Resources
Title of proposed change	Property Disposals as part of the Interim Asset Disposal Strategy
Name of Officer carrying out Equality Analysis	Steve Wingrave

### 2.1 Purpose of proposed change (see 1.1 above for examples of proposed changes)

The Council is proposing to dispose of a number of assets as part of the Interim Disposal Strategy to help generate capital receipts in line with the MTFS requirements and enable the Council to continue to deliver its key services.

# 3. Impact of the proposed change

Important Note: It is necessary to determine how each of the protected groups could be impacted by the proposed change. If there is insufficient information or evidence to reach a decision you will need to gather appropriate quantitative and qualitative information from a range of sources e.g. Croydon Observatory a useful source of information such as Borough Strategies and Plans, Borough and Ward Profiles, Joint Strategic Health Needs Assessments <a href="http://www.croydonobservatory.org/">http://www.croydonobservatory.org/</a> Other sources include performance monitoring reports, complaints, survey data, audit reports, inspection reports, national research and feedback gained through engagement with service users, voluntary and community organisations and contractors.

#### 3.1 Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

Table 1 - Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

If you need to undertake further research and data gathering to help determine the likely impact of the proposed change, outline the information needed in this table.

Additional information needed	Information source	Date for completion
The proposed disposal comprises of the former Addington Community	Asset Management/CMT	December 2022
Centre (ACC) and an office building at 90 Central Parade which is currently		
used by the Schools Sensory Impairment team. The ACC was vacated by		
the former tenants and partially demolished as part of the new leisure centre		
works. The ACC was initially vacated by the former tenants, the ACA in		
2016, and the building was managed by the FM team to facilitate general		
community use up until its formal closure at the end of 2019. It has since be		
re-used on a temporary basis for the Boxing Club that required a temporary		
home following the closure of Timebridge Centre.		
The new Leisure Centre which opened in January 2020 provides two halls		
with a total capacity of 300, ancillary rooms and café facility. This space is		
available to hire and is now used by many organisations. This, together with		
the new Fieldway Centre provides good quality and more accessible		
accommodation for community groups within New Addington.		

	In respect of 90 Central Parade this is used as offices by the Council's Educational sensory team. This team are not location sensitive and do not run the service to clients from the building but go out to visit schools. Their relocation is therefore unlikely to impact on any protected groups and may well improve accessibility for staff depending on the final location. The sale of this site is to allow the provision of a new health facility which is ikely to include a diagnostic hub and will considerably improve healthcare facilities to local residents.	

For guidance and support with consultation and engagement visit <a href="https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation">https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation</a>

### 3.2 Deciding whether the potential impact is positive or negative

#### **Table 2 – Positive/Negative impact**

For each protected characteristic group show whether the impact of the proposed change on service users and/or staff is positive or negative by briefly outlining the nature of the impact in the appropriate column. If it is decided that analysis is not relevant to some groups, this should be recorded and explained. In all circumstances you should list the source of the evidence used to make this judgment where possible.

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
Age	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the main provision for community servicesout of the ACC had already ceased and can be	None	Use of the two new community facilities at New Addington Leisure Centre and Fieldway Centre has demonstrated

	reprovided from two new facilities within New Addington. The functions run from the office accommodation will be reprovided from an alternative site offering suitable accommodation to allow the service to be delivered. The provision of the new health facility, which is likely to include a diagnostic hub, will considerably improve healthcare facilities to a wide range of local residents including protected characteristic groups		groups are using these alternative sites
Disability	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above
Gender	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above.
Gender Reassignment	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above.
Marriage or Civil Partnership	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above.
Religion or belief	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above
Race	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above.
Sexual Orientation	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as the services are continuing from alternative premises as detailed above.	None	As above.

Pregnancy or Maternity	The proposed changes will not impact any	None	As above.
	protected characteristic group as the services		
	are continuing from alternative premises as		
	detailed above.		

**Important note:** You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which, if it occurred would breach the Equality Act 2010. In some situations this could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take action to mitigate all negative impacts.

When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, you must ensure that this does not create a negative impact on service users and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics.

#### 3.3 Impact scores

### **Example**

If we are going to reduce parking provision in a particular location, officers will need to assess the equality impact as follows;

- 1. Determine the Likelihood of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the likelihood of impact score is 2 (likely to impact)
- 2. Determine the Severity of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the Severity of impact score is also 2 (likely to impact)
- 3. Calculate the equality impact score using table 4 below and the formula **Likelihood x Severity** and record it in table 5, for the purpose of this example **Likelihood** (2) x **Severity** (2) = 4

### **Table 4 – Equality Impact Score**

act	3	3	6	9
<u>l</u> mp	2	2	4	6
/ of	1	1	2	3
Severity of Impact		1	2	3
Sev	Likelihood of Impact			

Key

Risk Index	Risk Magnitude	
6 – 9	High	
3 – 5	Medium	
1 – 3	Low	

# **Equality Analysis**



Table 5 - Impact scores

Table 5 – Impact scores						
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4			
PROTECTED GROUP	LIKELIHOOD OF IMPACT SCORE	SEVERITY OF IMPACT SCORE	EQUALITY IMPACT SCORE			
	Use the key below to <b>score</b> the <b>likelihood</b> of the proposed change impacting each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group.  1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Use the key below to <b>score</b> the <b>severity</b> of impact of the proposed change on each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group.  1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Calculate the <b>equality impact score</b> for each protected group by multiplying scores in column 2 by scores in column 3. Enter the results below against each protected group. <b>Equality impact score = likelihood of impact score x severity of impact score.</b>			
Age	2	2	4 In a positive way due to improved medical facilities			
Disability	2	2	4 In a positive way due to improved medical facilities			
Gender	1	1	1			
Gender reassignment	1	1	1			
Marriage / Civil Partnership	1	1	1			
Race	1	1	1			
Religion or belief	1	1	1			
Sexual Orientation	1	1	1			
Pregnancy or Maternity	2	2	4 In a positive way due to improved medical facilities			

# **Equality Analysis**



4.	Statutory duties
4.1	Public Sector Duties
	the relevant box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties in the ality Act 2010 set out below.
Adv	ancing equality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups
Elim	ninating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation
Fost	tering good relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups
	ortant note: If the proposed change adversely impacts the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions must butlined in the Action Plan in section 5 below.

# 5. Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts of proposed change

Table 5 – Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts

Complete this table to show any negative impacts identified for service users and/or staff from protected groups, and planned actions mitigate them.				
Protected characteristic	Negative impact	Mitigating action(s)	Action owner	Date for completion
Disability	No Negative Impact			
Race	No Negative Impact			
Sex (gender)	No Negative Impact			
Gender reassignment	No Negative Impact			
Sexual orientation	No Negative Impact			





Age	No Negative Impact	
Religion or belief	No Negative Impact	
Pregnancy or maternity	No Negative Impact	
Marriage/civil partnership	No Negative Impact	

# 6. Decision on the proposed change

Based on the information outlined in this Equality Analysis enter X in column 3 (Conclusion) alongside the relevant statement to show your conclusion.				
Decision	Definition	Conclusion - Mark 'X' below		
No major change	Our analysis demonstrates that the policy is robust. The evidence shows no potential for discrimination and we have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review. If you reach this conclusion, state your reasons and briefly outline the evidence used to support your decision.  The proposed disposal will not significantly change the current provision and delivery of services. Although the sale of the ACC will reduce the availability of cheaper space for community groups, the new space at the Leisure Centre and Fieldway Centre provides more modern and better facilities which will help mitigate the impact. The relocation of the office based teams is not considered to impact any groups with protected characteristics. The sale is conditional on the provision of a new health centre and therefore the provision of this new asset is likely to improve facilities for a number of groups with protected characteristics as it will provide new facilities and more accessible accommodation	х		
Adjust the proposed change	We will take steps to lessen the impact of the proposed change should it adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out under section 4 above, remove barriers or better promote equality. We are going to take action to ensure these opportunities are realised. If you reach this conclusion, you must outline the actions you will take in Action Plan in section 5 of the Equality Analysis form			
Continue the proposed change	We will adopt or continue with the change, despite potential for adverse impact or opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through the change. However, we are not planning to implement them as we are satisfied that our project will not lead to unlawful discrimination and there are justifiable reasons to continue as planned. If you reach this conclusion, you should clearly set out the justifications for doing this and it must be in line with the duty to have due regard and how you reached this decision.			





Stop or amend the proposed change	Our change would have adverse effects on one or more protected groups that are not justified and cannot be mitigated.  Our proposed change must be stopped or amended.		
	on be considered at a scheduled meeting? eg. Contracts and g Board (CCB) / Cabinet <b>Yes.</b>	Meeting title: Cabinet Date: 21 March 2022	

# 7. Sign-Off

Officers that must approve this decision			
Equality lead	Name:	Denise McCausland	Date:
	Position:	On behalf of Director for Policy & Partnership	s
Director	Name: Pe	eter Mitchell	Date: 9 March 2022
Position: Director of Comme		irector of Commercial Investment and Capit	al